

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,613	07/18/2003	Takashi Sunda	50195-381	2916
7590 10/03/2006		EXAMINER		
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
600 13th Street	, N.W.			
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>3</i> ,			2629	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/621,613	SUNDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeff Piziali	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 December</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This  3)    Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)	re withdrawn from consideration.  and/or election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the E				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 18 July 2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ite atent Application (PTO-152)			

í

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicants' election of Species 1 (i.e. Claims 1-10 and 40) in the reply filed on 6

  December 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicants did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claims 11-39 and 41-44 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
   1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
   Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 6 December 2005.
- 4. Applicants' election of Species 1 (i.e. Claims 1-10 and 40) is appreciated. However, this application now contains elected claims (as of the reply filed on 6 December 2005) directed to at least the following patentably distinct species and sub species:

Art Unit: 2629

Species I, drawn to a joystick type input device adapted to allow the stick, serving as the operating terminal, to be tilted to perform inputting [see Fig. 1; Page 29, Lines 2-3 of the instant Specification, for instance]; and

Page 3

Species II, drawn to a mouse type input device adapted to allow an input by sliding the mouse in a radial direction from a center position [see Page 29, Lines 3-7 of the instant Specification, for instance]; wherein Species I and II each further comprise:

Sub-Species A, wherein an operator discriminating section makes use of signals delivered from infrared sensors [see Fig. 3; Page 11, Lines 19-29 of the instant Specification, for instance];

Sub-Species B, wherein an operator discriminating section makes use of signals delivered from electric capacitance sensors [see Page 28, Lines 24-34 of the instant Specification, for instance]; and

Sub-Species C, wherein an operator discriminating section makes use of signals delivered from electric pressure sensitive sensors [see Page 29, Lines 13-15 of the instant Specification, for instance].

Art Unit: 2629

The species and sub-species are respectively independent or distinct because the species and sub-species do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the species and sub-species are not obvious variants; and the species and sub-species respectively each have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, and effect.

Although the aforementioned species and sub-species were present at the time of the previous restriction requirement (mailed 1 November 2005); at the time, the examiner deemed it too complicated (not to mention potentially confusing) to attempt to identify every single species/sub-species variant represented by all 44 pending claims. Thanks to the applicants' election of Claims 1-10 and 40 (filed 6 December 2005), no further restrictions (after this one) are expected.

Applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no elected claims appear to be generic.

Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to Wei-Chen Nicholas Chen (Registration Number 56,665) on 29 September 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/621,613

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

Page 6

The applicants are hereby notified that the examiner's art unit has recently changed from

Art Unit 2673 to Art Unit 2629, please direct all future correspondence accordingly. Thank you.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-7678. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeff Piziali

29 September 2006

BIPIN SHALWALA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER